Home 13 More ⌄

Article   Full-text available

Dealing with Endogeneity Bias: The Generalized Method of Moments (GMM) for Panel Data

May 2018 · Industrial Marketing Management 71:69-78

DOI: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2017.11.010

Subhan Ullah · Pervaiz Akhtar Akhtar · Ghasem Zaefarian

Abstract and figures

Endogeneity bias can lead to inconsistent estimates and incorrect inferences, which may provide misleading conclusions and inappropriate theoretical interpretations. Sometimes such bias can even lead to coefficients having the wrong sign. Although this is a long-standing issue, it is now emerging in marketing and management science, with high-ranked journals increasingly exploring the issue. In this paper we methodologically demonstrate how to detect and deal with endogeneity issues in panel data. For illustration purpose, we used a dataset consisting of 15 years of observations (i.e., 2002 to 2016) from 101 UK listed companies, and examined the direct effect of R&D expenditures, corporate governance, and firms' characteristics on firm performance. The result of our analyses indicate significant differences in our findings reported under ordinary least square (OLS), fixed effects and the generalized method of moments (GMM) estimations, due to endogeneity bias. We provide generic STATA commands that can be used by marketing researchers in implementing a GMM model that better controls for the three sources of endogeneity, namely, unobserved heterogeneity, simultaneity and dynamic endogeneity.

◯ ◯ ◯

👁 Public Full-texts ③

▯ ⌄

Page 1

Figur

Dealing with endogeneity bias: The generalized method of moments (GMM) for panel data

Subhan Ullah*
Accounting and Finance Subject Group,
Faculty of Business, Law and Politics,
University of Hull
United Kingdom

Pervaiz Akhtar
Management Systems, Logistics Institute,
Faculty of Business, Law and Politics,
University of Hull
United Kingdom
IESEG School of Management, France.

Ghasem Zaefarian
Leeds University Business School,
University of Leeds,
United Kingdom

* Corresponding author: Dr Subhan Ullah, Lecturer in Accounting and Finance, Faculty of Business, Law and Politics, Hull University Business School. Email:

1

Page 2

**Dealing with endogeneity bias: The generalized method of moments for panel data**

Abstract:

Endogeneity bias can lead to inconsistent estimates and incorrect inferences, which may provide misleading conclusions and inappropriate theoretical interpretations. Sometimes such bias can even lead to coefficients having the wrong sign. Although this is a long-standing issue, it is now emerging in marketing and management science, with high-ranked journals increasingly exploring the issue. In this paper we methodologically demonstrate how to detect and deal with endogeneity issues in panel data. For illustration purposes, we used a dataset consisting of 15 years of observations (i.e., 2002 to 2016) from 101 UK listed companies, and examined the direct effect of R&D expenditures, corporate governance, and firms' characteristics on firm performance. The result of our analyses indicate significant differences in our findings reported under ordinary least square (OLS), fixed effects and the generalized method of moments (GMM) estimations, due to endogeneity bias. We provide generic STATA commands that can be used by marketing researchers in implementing a GMM model that better controls for the three sources of endogeneity, namely, unobserved heterogeneity, simultaneity and dynamic endogeneity.

Keyword: endogeneity bias; generalized method of moments; methodological issues; panel data.

2

Page 3

1. Introduction

Endogeneity in regression models refers to the condition in which an explanatory (endogenous, e.g., research and development expenditure) variable correlates with the error term, or if two error terms correlate when dealing with structural equation modelling. Endogeneity bias can therefore cause inconsistent estimates (i.e., not tend to be the true value as sample size increases), which potentially leads to wrong inferences, misleading conclusions and incorrect theoretical interpretations. "*Sometimes endogeneity causes so much bias that we may not even get the sign of the coefficient right*" (Ketokivi & McIntosh, 2017, p. 1). Research suggests approximately 90% of papers published in premier journals have not adequately addressed endogeneity bias (e.g., Antonakis, Bendahan, Jacquart, & Lalive, 2010; Hamilton & Nickerson, 2003). Based on a study of over 100 articles in top journals, it is claimed that "*researchers fail to address at least 66% and up to 90% of design and estimation conditions that make causal claims invalid*" (Antonakis et al., 2010, p. 1086).

Despite recent methodological advances and the relevant literature in econometrics/psychology, other social science disciplines (e.g., marketing, operations management, international business and supply chain management) have largely produced inconsistent estimates due to not addressing endogeneity biases. However, marketing (e.g., Journal of Marketing, Journal of Marketing Research, and more recently Industrial Marketing Management) and operations management (e.g., Journal of Operations Management) journals have started to take it more seriously, and asked authors to fully address endogeneity in their studies (e.g. Ketokivi & McIntosh, 2017; Reeb, Sakakibara, & Mahmood,2012; Zaefarian et al., 2017). Researchers are responding to this call; for example, the Industrial Marketing Management journal has seen an increase number of authors addressing endogeneity bias in their studies published in 2017 (a total of 6 papers to be exact) compared to that of the previous year (only 1 paper) . The

3

Page 4

address such methodological complications. Nonetheless, many researchers in management disciplines are not yet fully aware of endogeneity, its sources, and relevant remedies (Antonakis, Bendahan, & Lalive, 2014; Guide & Ketokivi, 2015; Zaefarian et al., 2017).

Importantly, endogeneity bias can have different origins, and different methods exist to address them. For example, the dynamic generalized method of moments model (GMM) is used to address panel data (i.e., dynamic endogeneity bias) and two-stage least squares (2SLS)/three-stage least squares (3SLS) are often used for survey data. Some researchers have recently provided reviews to understand the key endogeneity concepts and relevant techniques (e.g., see Zaefarian et al., 2017). However, a step-by-step procedure on how to execute these techniques for a particular research problem is still missing. We therefore provide a succinct overview of the key endogeneity sources and solutions, and comprehensively demonstrate the GMM method using a case study of a panel dataset consisting of 15 years of observations. Specifically, this study explores how the dynamic nature of investment in R&D expenditures together with corporate governance affect firm performance. To better illustrate how endogeneity bias may cause incorrect estimates, we examine our proposed model using three different approaches, namely, ordinary least square (OLS), fixed effects, and the generalized method of moments (GMM). Practically, our main aim and contribution is to provide a comprehensive procedure for researchers to produce consistent estimates and to draw valid inferences when dealing with panel data.

In addition, panel data is used far less frequently in business-to-business than in the business-to-consumer marketing domain, and this article could provide a starting point as to how industrial marketing and management researchers can utilize such datasets to provide insights for business practitioners. For instance, the research and development expenditure and its relationship to firm financial performance in industrial marketing can be explored by using

4

Page 5

researchers are often unaware of such resources).

2 Sources of endogeneity

The error term in endogeneity bias is unobservable, so there is no direct way to statistically test that an endogenous variable is correlated with the error term. Also, *"exogenous variables in a model are probably never truly exogenous"* (Ketokivi & McIntosh, 2017, p. 3). It is therefore almost impossible to statistically ensure that an endogeneity problem can be completely resolved (Roberts & Whited, 2012). That is why such *"dilemmas do not call for solutions, they call for choices"* (Ketokivi & McIntosh, 2017, p. 2). For choices, researchers need to understand the sources of the problem and then take reasonable actions to reduce the negative impact in order to deal effectively endogeneity. As *"there are no direct tests of endogeneity"*, the choices of indirect tests and precautionary measures can help to guide relevant insights and conclusions (Ketokivi & McIntosh, 2017, p. 2). Endogeneity encompasses common-method variance, measurement errors, omitted variables/selections and simultaneity. It is important to address them theoretically (e.g., extensively reviewing literature and providing comprehensive research designs that could help to apply appropriate statistical tools) as well as empirically (e.g. using statistical techniques to ensure that data is rigorously investigated) (Antonakis et al., 2010; Ketokivi & McIntosh, 2017).

2.1 Common-method variance and its remedies

Common-method variance (CMV) is related to measurement methods. CMV is problematic due to its interlinks with the sources of measurement errors, These sources can come from common-rater effects (e.g., only collecting information from similar respondents), common measurement content (e.g., time, location and a single-medium used to collect data), common-

5

Page 6

response formats and the general content (Malhotra, Kim, & Patil, 2006; Podsakoff, MacKenzie, Lee, & Podsakoff, 2003). Research suggests that the difference between the amounts of variance accounted is 24% when CMV is controlled (i.e., 35%) versus when it is not controlled (i.e., 11%). Thus, CMV can have a substantial effect on the relationships between measures or constructs (Podsakoff et al., 2003).

A series of steps can be taken to minimize the CMV bias. Theoretically, one can use research to develop a systematic questionnaire and measures (items) to form the constructs, which can be further refined statistically using exploratory factor analysis and reliability measures. It is good practice to avoid unfamiliar words, double-barrelled questions and technical words and to keep items simple, specific and concise. The items could be further grouped with different construct items (i.e., not in conceptual dimensions) (Tourangeau, Rips, & Rasinski, 2000). Some researchers also suggest to avoid adding (many) negatively-worded items because of a lack of confidence in respondents' ability to fully understand them, as highlighted by Podsakoff et al. (2003). Researchers often have to delete such items because their loadings are not strong enough to meet the minimum criterion. In addition, respondents should be informed of the anonymity of the survey - individuals and companies should not be identified and only aggregate data used. Moreover, to avoid a single-informant bias, data could be collected from multiple informants. For example, a survey data collection may involve multiple management positions such as chief executive officers, managing directors, project managers, marketing managers, senior operations managers and team leaders (e.g., Akhtar, Tse, Khan, & Rao-Nicholson, 2016).

In order to test for CMV, researchers commonly use Harman's one-factor test (Malhotra et al., 2006; Podsakoff et al., 2003). In this method, the analysis produced from multiple factors (based on eigenvalues greater than 1 and scree plot observations) with reasonable variances is

6

Similar research

How Audit Quality Affects the Firm Performance with the Moderating Role of the Product Market Competition: Empirical Evidence from Pakistani Manufacturing Firms

Article   Full-text available

May 2020 · Sustainability

Usman Sattar · Sohail Ahmad Javeed · Rashid Latief

Audit quality (AQ) is a crucial instrument for ensuring transparency and accountability in both the public and private

hen what are the circumstances that

Download

Recommend Follow Share

Equilibrium in corporate governance

Conference Paper Full-text available

June 2018 · XXVIII ACEDE CONFERENCE

Sonia Marcos · Luis Angel Castrillo

Objectives: For years, a large body of empirical research suggests that certain corporate governance structures have an effect on firm value and drive improved performance (Shleifer and Vishny, 1986; McConnell and Servaes, 1990). Their conclusions are based on statistically significant results. But, empirical corporate governance research has…

143 Reads

Download

Recommend Follow Share

A dynamic estimation of governance structures and financial performance for Singaporean companies

Article Full-text available

April 2014 · Economic Modelling

Tuan Van Nguyen · Stuart M Locke · Dr Krishna Reddy

In this study, a sample of 257 Singaporean domiciled non-financial listed companies is investigated using a system generalised method of moments (system GMM) estimator. This approach allows for controlling the potential sources of endogeneity inherent in the performance–governance relationship. Our findings strongly support the proposition…

1,120 Reads · 70 Citations

Download

Recommend Follow Share

PREDICTION OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE-PERFORMANCE RELATIONSHIP WITH A DYNAMIC MODEL

Article Full-text available

March 2017 · Journal of the American Taxation Association

Ebru Aydoğan · Değer Alper

The objective of this study is to examine whether there is a relationship between the performances and corporate governance levels of companies traded in corporate governance index between 2007 and 2015 in Turkey, which is an emerging market. Different from the previous studies conducted in Turkey, the analysis is conducted with a dynamic…

28 Reads

Download

Recommend Follow Share

Corporate governance, research and development volatility and firm performance - Evidence from Spain and Ireland

Article Full-text available

The present study sheds light on the comparative experiences of the two countries originating from differing legal systems and describes how their codes and practices affect the publicly listed firms' performance. It investigates the linkages between Research and Development (R&D) expenditures, Board characteristics and firm performance usin…

Download

Recommend   Follow   Share

View more related research